

International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research

Impact Factor 5.255, Special Issue, February 2018 – Conference Proceedings

National Conference on Recent Trends in Management Studies & Computer Applications

(KRUPACON 2017), On 21st & 22nd September 2017 Organized By

Krupanidhi Group of Institutions, Bangalore, Karnataka

ACADEMIC REVIEW AND HIGHER EDUCATION QUALITY ASSURANCE

Nivedita Jha* & N Ravi Shankar**

* Krupanidhi Group of Institutions, Bangalore, Karnataka

** Krupanidhi Degree College, Bangalore, Karnataka



Cite This Article: Nivedita Jha & N Ravi Shankar, "Academic Review and Higher Education Quality Assurance", International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research, Special Issue, February, Page Number 52-55, 2018.

Abstract:

In its learning performance, the position of higher schools is expressed. The learning results improve technical skills in subject matter and intelligence, professional skills and employability by cultivating high performing practitioners. It leads even more to emotional and social sophistication, a strong entrepreneurial spirit, strong analytical disposition and a strong strategic thought among students. Only by a solid quality control framework will it be understood through the implementation of extensive, constantly improved and global technical education. Quality policy aims to institutionalize procedures and quality assurance. At the heart of this approach is the dedication to better education and learning by delivering well-designed and systemic curricula using multiple learning environments. The institutional focus of different processes of quality improvement is on instructor quality, instructional implementation and pedagogy, students' study and instruction, students' development of skills, personality development orientation services and a wide variety of initiatives that help students meet their challenges and take risks courageously. Audit offers feedback on its effectiveness. For institutional change, the audit observations are used.

Key Words: Academic Audit, Quality Assurance, Higher Education.

Introduction:

Higher education institutions should be places of excellence and provide students with quality education. Business in general aims to fulfill its needs through the development of a human capital pool with improved employability, management institutions, the settlement of issues dependent on the society and the preservation of a harmonious climate. This can't be done just by multiplying organizations. Quality is important here. Quality is that intangible, but omnipresent element which differentiates between a product and another or a service." Quality is not obtained by one go, but by an ongoing striving for excellence. Quality-free higher education is at the detriment of its significance and purpose [1-15].

Institutional Policy for Quality Assurance:

Higher education institutions aim through a defined quality control framework to offer thorough, consistently improved and world-wide technical preparation through synergistic cooperation between the involved parties. This is established in the form of a policy and conveyed at all levels, which leads, in all three fields, namely, scholarly, administrative and infrastructural institutionalizing the quality improvement processes. Institutionalization of the following quality assurance procedures.

- **Teacher Quality:** Faculty development programs are organized on a daily basis to increase teaching quality. In order to increase the quality of teachers, joint projects with other organizations are also coordinated. Additional qualifications, research degrees and credential programs to develop their expertise are encouraged to faculty members.
- **Delivery of the Curriculum:** To ensure that the curriculum is carried out successfully, the faculty members arrange milking for the subjects taught by them a curriculum schedule, and the teaching content.
- **Strengthening of Research Activity:** Research centers are established in focus areas to improve research activities. Members of Faculty are encouraged to write articles and present papers in national and international conferences for publication in journals. This opens a door for research papers both philosophical and analytical to be prepared and written. The selection of such conferences is extended to include all disciplines presented within a shared thematic structure by the Institute.
- **Personality Development Programs:** Student development services are necessary to prepare the students to respond to their career challenges. Students are given value generating programs, such as degree courses, to fill the gap between academic education and industrial requirements. Daily industrial visits and exchanges between business and academia are arranged to provide practical information on the activity of the organization.
- **Orientation Programs:** In addition to preparation, programs, the right orientation and positive mindset are created.
- **Additional Academic Support to Ensure Holistic Growth.** Examples of great epics like Ramayana, Mahabharata, Bhagavad Gita, Veda, Upanishads and so forth will make teaching management concepts fascinating. The additional academic assistance can be provided with theological seminars, regional festival gatherings, speeches while observing important days such as World Elderly Day, Mothers Day, Earth day etc.
- **Mental Maturity and Skill Development Courses:** The students should strengthen their attention capacity, resolve tension, retain healthy physical and mental health and ensure that they are mental maturity.
- **Placement:** A wide variety of teaching, entrepreneurship and employability skills should be developed to promote quicker positioning and improved work-related transition.
- **Preparedness of Challenges:** Students are empowered to assess their own needs for training and advancement, and the institute imparts employability skills, depending on the needs of students and the business. The aim of education is to train students to tackle these obstacles and take the risk of confidence as the business world is full of challenges and risks.
- **IQAC:** Internal QA Cell is the constant and efficient system for day-to-day care in all facets of consistency. It comes from the teaching, administration and management leaders as well as from external stakeholders with experience and

International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research

Impact Factor 5.255, Special Issue, February 2018 – Conference Proceedings

National Conference on Recent Trends in Management Studies & Computer Applications

(KRUPACON 2017), On 21st & 22nd September 2017 Organized By

Krupanidhi Group of Institutions, Bangalore, Karnataka

competence in the institution's work. IQAC expresses its thoughts and disciplinary steps by annual meetings. IQAC is based on the idea that perfection and perfection is slowly but constantly attainable.

In the growth and increase of the standard of education the administrative structure assists the agency. There are still logistical needs in the numerous committees set up by the institution. The Consultative Board and the Board of Directors are formed along with members of the management and academics. Different course co-ordinators promote their departments' internal administration and link the management of the institute, which is managed by the head. Infrastructure promotes the criteria of the instructional and institutional quality policy.

Quality Assurance Framework:

The university provides an integrated system for instructional and institutional quality assurance. There are three stages of convergence of instructional and administrative tasks.

Strategic level: Teachers as well as non-teaching personnel assist in framing and successfully enforcing laws, policies, guidelines, rules and regulations so that the institutes work effectively and efficiently. Staff members are also active in the planning of student entry procedures to the institute for courses and tests [Internal & University].

Functional level: All teaching staff exchanges knowledge of their respective specialty areas by addressing the current developments. The coordinators and the employees of various agencies meet and organize the programs that are to be carried out. Office employees also take into account the accepted fee schedule in planning the institution's annual budget. They satisfy the criteria for the smooth running of the Institute's work with regulatory bodies.

Operational level: In order to sustain and attain quality standards, all the workers are interested in enforcing the practices, processes and structure defined by the highest management.

For the successful execution of Quality Assurance protocols, preparation for its personnel is necessary. Programs, seminars and conferences on faculty growth are bringing more priority to pedagogy. As a result, teaching technique practices developments in the sector. Most specialists in the industry and senior scientists from other organizations collaborate with their teachers. This leads to efficiency development. The engagement of faculty members in outreach activities also enriches them through academic benchmarking facilities. Management staff is aware of their service in the handling of student matters.

Training of applications for office administration increases productivity and reduces time. Efficiency in infrastructure management is maintained by the lower staff. In lectures and proposals, the Director of the Institution communicates with faculty members and discusses how quality programs of the Institute must be introduced.

Audit Outcome for Improvements in Institutional Activities:

A routine academic evaluation offers input from the president of the university on the teaching and learning success of each faculty member as an evaluation of themselves. Information on the issues being discussed, percentage and success of students in the tests and assessments, involvement in a curriculum of teaching development, attendance at external seminars, books and posts, and programs, written in the classroom. The audit findings are passed on to the institutional development head of the Institute.

Stakeholder Involvement in Quality Assurance:

The institute organizes interactive meetings with all its stakeholders in order to communicate its quality assurance policies, mechanisms and outcomes. The following are the stakeholders of the institute.

- Management: Management officials interact by means of meetings with a faculty. The aim of these meetings is to reaffirm the quality and compliance of the institution.
- Parents: Parent-teacher sessions are held to advise the company of the efforts to achieve consistency leading to the growth of the workers.
- Students: at the beginning of the semesters, the Institute implements a curriculum to help students recognize the questions about quality and to strengthen in all respects the ethos of excellence.
- Alumni: Debates and their changes in consultations with alumni quality issues.
- Industry: recommendations on curriculum revision to include newer fields of expertise and skills growth in accordance with the needs of the sector have been implemented to inform employers of the institution's contribution to quality.
- University: the inspected central professional standards inspectorate overseeing the Institute is persuaded to have an affiliation renewal.
- There are alerts by the rating and passage ratios in the different groups on news papers and the social care programs communicate to the Population contextual policy, processes and outcomes: facts that form part of intake campaigns, information shared on the web.

Alumni and Contribution to Quality:

The students contribute actively to the enhanced and increased standard of education through their association and engagement in enhancing professional, intellectual and social ties to the university. During various stages of quality decisions, the alumni as stakeholder are significant. They lead to useful perspectives into different markets, workers and cultures. They help to define the qualifications that students are needed to achieve those positions. They offer reviews annually to teaching practitioners in whom the university is the most appropriate for existing needs and changes academic programmes and value added programmes. The networking becomes successful and irreversible when the student association is registered.

Conclusion:

The quality improvement strategy focuses on ensuring quality teaching and learning through well-designed and systematic curriculums focused on a range of learning experiences. Quality policy aims to institutionalise procedures and quality assurance. The institutional focus of different processes of quality improvement is on instructor quality, instructional

International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research

Impact Factor 5.255, Special Issue, February 2018 – Conference Proceedings

National Conference on Recent Trends in Management Studies & Computer Applications

(KRUPACON 2017), On 21st & 22nd September 2017 Organized By

Krupanidhi Group of Institutions, Bangalore, Karnataka

implementation and pedagogy, students' study and instruction, students' development of skills, personality development orientation services and a wide variety of initiatives that help students meet their challenges and take risks courageously. For the students' overall growth, the triad of curriculum, curriculum and extracurricular activities that broaden their horizons of knowledge and lead to their development is important. Educational organisations will only thrive in the ever-growing competition environment if consistency is applied to their operation [16-30].

Acknowledgement:

The authors express gratitude towards the assistance provided by The Management, Krupanidhi Group of Institutions (KGI) and Krupanidhi Research Incubation Centre, KGI in completing the research. We also thank our Research Mentors who guided us throughout the research and helped us in achieving the desired results.

References

1. Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2016). Curriculum Enrichment for Learning Outcomes in Higher Education. International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME). 1(2). 175-183.
2. Suresh Kumar, P. M., (2016). Leadership Development and Quality Enhancement in Higher Education. International Journal of Advanced Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJATET). 1(1). 49-53.
3. Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2016). Stakeholder Perception and Academic Improvements in Higher Education. International Journal of Advanced Trends in Engineering and Technology (IJATET). 1(1), 10-14.
4. Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2017). Community Engagement Initiatives in Higher Education,. International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME), 2(1), 80-85.
5. Aithal, P. S. & Suresh Kumar, P. M. and Deekshitha, (2015). Societal Expectation and Institutional Accountability In Higher Education. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering (IJMIE), 5(7), 361-373.
6. Aithal, P. S., Suresh Kumar, P. M. and Pavithra Kumari, (2015). Methods and Approaches for Employability Skill Generation In Higher Educational Institutions. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering (IJMIE), 5(7), 390-410.
7. Srinivas Rao, A., Suresh Kumar, P. M. & Aithal, P. S. (2015). Strategic Planning in Higher Education Institutions : A Case Study of SIMS - Vision 2025. International Journal of Educational Science and Research, 5(2) 29-42.
8. Aithal, P. S., Srinivas Rao, A. & Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2015). How Innovations and Best Practices can Transform Higher Education Institutions : A case study of SIMS. International Journal of Management (IJM), 6(2), 83 - 98.
9. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M., (2015). Enhancement of Graduate attributes in Higher Education Institutions through Stage Models. IMPACT: International Journal of Research in Business Management, 3(3), 121 – 130.
10. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M., (2015). Quality Enhancement in Higher Education Institutions : A case study of SIMS. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, 2(5), 18-31.
11. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2015). Applying SWOC Analysis to An Institution Of Higher Education. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering (IJMIE), 5(7), 231-247.
12. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M., (2016). Student Performance and Learning Outcomes in Higher Education Institutions. International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRMA). 1(1), 674 – 684.
13. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M., (2016). Catering Student Enrollment and Retaining Diversity in Higher Education Institutions. International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME), 1(1), 565 – 577.
14. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2016). Academic Support through Information System : Srinivas Integrated Model. International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME). 1(1), 376-384.
15. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M., (2016). Maintaining Teacher Quality in Higher Education Institutions. International Journal of Current Research and Modern Education (IJCRME). 1(1), 701-711.
16. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M., (2016). Teaching-Learning Process in Higher Education Institutions. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Modern Education (IJMRME). 2(1), 662-676.
17. Aithal, P. S. & Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2016). Analysis of Choice Based Credit System in Higher Education. International Journal of Engineering Research and Modern Education (IJERME), 1(1), 278-284.
18. Aithal, P. S. and Suresh Kumar, P. M., (2016). Student Evaluation and Reforms in Higher Education Institutions. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Modern Education (IJMRME), 2(1), 652-661.
19. Prithi Rao, and Aithal, P. S. (2016). Green Education Concepts & Strategies in Higher Education Model. International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education(IJSRME), 1(1), 793-802.
20. Shubrajyotsna Aithal & Aithal, P. S., (2016). Student Centric Learning through Planned Hardwork - An Innovative Model. International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME), 1(1), 886-898.
21. Aithal, P. S. (2015). Teaching through Entry Test & Summarization - An Effective Classroom Teaching Model in Higher Education Training. International Journal of Scientific Research and Education, 3(3), 3022 – 3027.
22. Aithal, P. S. (2015). Internal Quality Assurance Cell and its Contribution to Quality Improvement in Higher Education Institutions : A Case of SIMS. GE International Journal of Management Research (IJMR), 3(5), 70-83.
23. Aithal, P. S. (2015). Strategy Development and Deployment in Higher Education Institutions. Elixir International Journal, 84, 33594 – 33597.
24. Aithal, P. S. (2015). Strategies to be adopted in Higher Education Institutions to Enhance Admission Demand. International Journal of Extensive Research, 5, 9-25.
25. Aithal, P. S. (2015). Faculty Empowerment Strategies in Higher Education Institutions. International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering (IJMIE), 5(7), 108-115.

International Journal of Applied and Advanced Scientific Research**Impact Factor 5.255, Special Issue, February 2018 – Conference Proceedings****National Conference on Recent Trends in Management Studies & Computer Applications****(KRUPACON 2017), On 21st & 22nd September 2017 Organized By****Krupanidhi Group of Institutions, Bangalore, Karnataka**

26. Aithal, P. S. (2015). Teaching through Entry Test & Summarization - An Effective Classroom Teaching Model in Higher Education Training. International Journal of Scientific Research And Education, 3(3), 3022 – 3027.
27. Reshma & P. S. Aithal, (2015). Quality Enhancement in Office Management of Higher Education Institutions through Innovations & Best Practices. International Research Journal of Business & Management, 8(5), 16 – 27.
28. Aithal, P. S. & Shubhrajyotsna Aithal, (2015). An Innovative Education Model to realize Ideal Education System. International Journal of Scientific Research and Management (IJSRM), 3(3), 2464 – 2469.
29. Aithal, P. S. & Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2017). Challenges and Opportunities for Research & Publications in Higher Education. International Journal of Scientific Research and Modern Education (IJSRME), 2(1), 42-49.
30. Suresh Kumar, P. M. (2017). Adoption of Technology and Augmentation of Resources for Teaching-Learning in Higher Education. International Journal of Management, Technology, and Social Sciences (IJMTS), 1(1), 63-69.